

The public Court Trial of Dutch King

Case: destruction of the Protection of Human Rights



Introduction

After damaged by injustice judge-decisions, injustice tribunal-decisions or by the violating behaviour, actions or injustice decisions by Courts or other bodies of the Dutch judiciary, civilians have no effective remedy under article 13 of the European Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms (hereafter mentioned as: EU-Convention) for a national authority under article 6 of the EU-Convention.

Because (also) this lack of protection is the Dutch King earlier with several cases sufficient informed about perjury and other crimes by the Dutch judges and the Dutch judiciary. The Dutch King did not responds once but did hide behind the human shield of his "Kabinet van de Koning" that is translatable in English by "Cabinet of the King". This Cabinet closes its communication about this subject without supply of an effective remedy and assumably at the order of the King.

Recently again a Court of first instance made an unjust decision and violated the Human and Civil Rights which urged the civilian to appeal at one of the two Dutch Supreme Courts "Raad van State". The Dutch King is chairman of the institution "Raad van State". Also by this facts is this appeal addressed to the Dutch King and is available in this webdossier "www.de-openbare-zaak.nl" in the international section in English in the rubric "Exceptional letters" behind item 00 "Higher appeal to the Dutch King".

Again did the Dutch King not respond and did hide behind the Human shield of –this time– the Supreme Court’s registrar’s office. Out of nowhere came a judge-decision by this Supreme Court and again is perjury and major crimes committed. These crimes are judged by the public and available in this webdossier “www.de-openbare-zaak.nl” in the section “Court Trials to the public” as “Dutch Raad van State versus the public”.

A by law prescribed appeal is submitted by the civilian to this Supreme Court and again emphatic addressed to the Dutch King. Since then nothing is heard.

The facts of violation

Case: The Dutch Supreme Court “Raad van State” versus the public
The document of this case –in the same folder– is and delivers the evidential facts.
In a summarily remind:

- The Dutch King let the Dutch Supreme Court “Raad van State”,
- () interpret the Dutch laws otherwise as the legislator’s will, intentions and aims;
 - () ignore the priority and dominancy of the European Convention for Protection of Human Rights and the Fundamental Freedoms;
 - () bring itself unlawful outside of the judgement by a “Wraking”-tribunal or by the “Court of first instance” and its assumed independent and impartial tribunal;
 - () supply a base to all other Dutch Courts for out law mentality and out law performing of their judicial power;
-
- () perform a Court Trial or litigation without a public hearing in the most secrecy;
 - () lie to the involved civilian and to the public;
 - () make judge-decisions that does not solve and settle a dispute and does not protect the civilian and its rights and freedoms;
 - () destroy the civilian’s right to appeal at the European Court for Human Rights;
 - () base its considerations and decision unlawful on its own opinion;

Corollary

The Dutch King pretends being obligated to respect an unlimited independency of the judiciary and this prohibits examining individual judge-decisions on perjury and other criminalities committed by Courts, judges, officers or bodies of the Judiciary.

Refutation:

The Dutch King swore the oath –in full awareness– before stepping in the kingship and among others:

(quote) “*that I the freedom and the rights of all citizens and all inhabitants shall defend, (...)*”. Many people witnessed the swearing and this oath, by much broadcasting and press media. This oath is personal and impossible to delegate.

The Dutch King meets once a week the Prime Minister for deliberation that ends in signing laws. Each Dutch law –and law change– is signed by the King. The Dutch King is the only lasting member in the governing of the Kingdom of the Netherlands.

Only the Governments signatory agreed and are the High Contracting Parties involved in the EU-Convention, that secured to everyone the Rights and Freedoms defined in the Convention (*1). This excludes the presumed “Judicial Power”.

Nothing in the European Convention may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any right to any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms or aimed at their limitations to a greater extent than is provided in the Convention (*2). Although the EU is not a State, it is for sure a group and a gathering of persons.

The accountability at the last of only the Dutch King

In the preceding paragraph is unveiled the personal responsibility and accountability of the Dutch King. Plus the King's share in the governing, this responsibility and accountability.

In addition has each public servant, public officer and judge sworn the oath to be faithful to the King. This makes the Dutch King accountable for the Courts, judges and judiciary.

The empowerment of the public to judge

The public is by law empowered to examine and judge court-decisions or judge-decisions on the Human Rights (*3).

The nature of the violations results that no "Court of first instance" is available to judge the Dutch King's deeds, behaviour and actions. So, the public is the only left lawful empowered independent judge.

Legal frames and arisen rights

(01) The Warranty Agreement

Rights do solely arise out of a preceding law article. The Human Rights are proclaimed in and by the Universal Declaration (*4). This declaration is a pledge, so a normal contract. This UN-contract has at the supplying side each Member State of the United Nations and at the receiving side each of the civilians or inhabitants of each Member State.

The UN-contract is in the European Union further elaborated into the Convention for the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms. This EU-Convention is a warranty agreement (*5) on the supply of protection, so a normal contract. This EU-contract has at the supplying side each Member State of the European Union and at the receiving side each of the civilians or inhabitants of each Member State.

By ratification became the UN-contract and the EU-contract implemented in the national law. What more is agreed on is in the EU-Convention and guaranteed the supply to everyone. The Dutch Constitution –by article 94– establishes the priority and dominance of the EU-Convention over each law-article.

Agreements Rights.

Each country has Agreements Rights in which is elaborated what precise mutual rights, out of a contract arise. Undisputable and crystal clear is, that in the legal frame of the contract on Human Rights first of all must be supplied, a Court with an impeccable staff and the guaranteed tribunal with an average or better quality of sworn judges. The European and national judiciary, Courts and judges work under the Warranty Agreement and Agreements Rights.

(01) Human Rights are possession

Due to the contractually stated possession of –worldwide– everyone civilian, are the

Human Rights is not a charity. Because these rights are everyone's possession these are not an economic object.

Due to the contractually stated possession of everyone European citizen, is the Protection of Human Rights not a charity. Because this Protection is everyone's possession this is not an economic object.

So, the economic status of a country is no reason or justification to steal –some of– the Human Rights. Besides a theft, is this also a breach of contract of their's Protection. The economic status of a country is not a redress or compensation of damages.

Because the Human Rights and their Protection are not economic objects both are easy to supply everywhere, in any situation and in any legal relationship. Each notice of a stolen possession is an undiscussable and undisputable –instant compulsory– restitution, of which a delay causes a huge financial and immaterial damage.

- (02) **Guarantee of an effective remedy against Courts and judges**
Against criminal Courts and judges who commit perjury must be available for everyone an impartial and independent tribunal with sworn judges (*6). When not available is the public –or the involved citizen– the only legally empowered judge.
- (03) **The equalizing power of Human Rights**
The Human Rights are an equalizing power (*7) and nothing less and nothing more. In case the citizen and the public with Human Rights should take-over the oversize of power then the difference in power remains: Nothing improves by turn-over the roles. This wisdom gave birth to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.
- (04) **The sole detectability of the presence of Human Rights**
Human Rights exist unconditional (*8), so are always present for everyone and valid. Everywhere where an oversize of power is used, undisputable the Human Rights not exist because Human Rights are present or not. In particular the equalizing power of these Rights is not a little present and is not the most powerful.
Note: The use of power is just doing the job right.
So, each misuse of power –like ignore or not use the critics– is a crime, but leave this misuse unpunished is a capital crime.
The call for violence
The absence of Human Rights is a call for violence in whatever way (*9).
- (05) **The ownership of an expression**
The EU-contract contains the Protection of the Fundamental Freedom of speech or expression (*10). To express freely one's will is one's Fundamental Freedom and thus is this expression the speaker's or writer's and signatories' enduring possession. Stealing this expression and exchange it for some interpretation or some perception, is a crime, but leave the theft or the exchanged expression unpunished is a capital crime. Therefore does also each law-article remain the sole ownership of the legislator who made it;

Conclusion

Verified,

(06) factresults,

that the Dutch King has no serious contrary facts;
that the Dutch King commits perjury;
that the people must be enabled to trust Royal promises;
that the Dutch King is the chairman of the institution "Raad van State";

(07) legal power of the documents

Nevertheless its lack of legal power by its crime, each written expression remains the lasting declaration of the writer's or signatories' deliberated will.

(08) sole respectable behaviour

Paper rules do not change persons' mentality or thinking.

Each person who developed itself above the "enough"-level of righteousness, exposes itself by the genuine receipt of each criticism like a grateful gift which helps to improve the quality of a highest level of protecting justice and peace. And act this way. Others are identifiable and verified by the remain of fighting plus doing all to keep it in silence or to cover it up in many ways and pretending a defence by accusing and fighting the messenger in return.

The Dutch King does not exposes itself by the genuine receipt of each criticism like a grateful gift which helps to improve the quality of a highest level of protecting justice and peace. The Dutch King remains fighting and keeps an illegal oversize of power.

(09) more crimes

- * Without the control of the Dutch King did the judiciary, its organs, each of the Courts, tribunals or judges develop itself into a monstrous, criminal and dictatorial organisation with the purpose to destructs the Human Rights.
- * The Dutch King let the judiciary, its organs, each of the Courts, tribunals or judges free to keep developing its monstrous criminalities and dictatorship with the purpose to destruct the Human Rights.
- * The use of a pseudo refuge –the misuse of "independency"– is a violation of the law, perjury, discrimination and under Dutch law a spreading around of a lying message.
- * The Dutch King's lack of HR-protecting actions vanishes the Human Rights and its equalizing power, which lack can not turn into justice by an excuse
- * A judge's perception or a judge's interpretation of the law or a law-article is a theft and a crime.

A judge's opinion

Besides the crime of theft of the legislator's ownerships, is the replace by a judge's opinion a perjury due to intolerable unfairness. Each doing together is under rules that are known beforehand, while a judge-opinion is always afterwards.

- * The injustice and discrimination make human beings angry. The people are forced to take own measures to protect. The violence in society is firstly and deliberately caused by the criminal Courts and judges (*9) and mainly by a lack of inspection by –at last– the Dutch King.

Determination

Perjury and capital crime

By turning away –regardless by which doing– from each crime by the judiciary does the Dutch King commits perjury and a capital crime against the EU-Convention: the Human Rights for everyone are not secure and were not secured. There is impossibly an excuse to turn this into justice, also because the public can not turn away and is empowered to judge.

The evidence of perjury and crimes are or are delivered in or by the webdossier at “www.de-openbare-zaak.nl” which has a Dutch and an international section in English. Besides this section is also evidence in the section “Court Trials to the public”.

Damages

The omission of protection of its Human and Civil Rights causes damage to each individual civilian. The impact of experiencing in full awareness the injustice or discrimination causes a huge damage. The impact of experiencing in appeal that the issues are deliberately not judged by a tribunal or judge causes a huge damage plus a huge delay-damage. The damage of destroyed trust is huge. Being forced to take own measures and also to be compelled to have at last, to rebellion against judicial tyranny and judicial oppression causes huge damage.

Compensation

Compelled by the Universal Declaration, European Convention and Dutch law must each Member State supply under Warranty Agreement. Each EU Member State has national Agreements Rights. The Dutch Agreements Rights force the Dutch King to pay a sufficient compensation in money for the irremediable damages and for the delay-damages.

Executability

It would be insane of the Dutch King not to obey and execute the law out of an own righteous conscience, but delay again –in fact refuse– until a –yet unknown– public’s executive power executes this public’s judge-decision with force on the Dutch King. The Dutch King is sufficient informed about the damages and all details for payment.

This payment impossibly dismisses the Dutch King from executing the contract and the vow by the King’s oath.

Reparations

Because the Dutch King exhibited not to know what is necessary, the public clear this up and decide as follows;

- () the Dutch King in his official capacity orders instantly each official –including each judge– and each official body to stop instantly to provoke begging by an individual civilian and orders instantly each official and each official body to stop treating Human and Civil Rights as a charity from him or her to an individual civilian;
- () the involved civilian **and** the public shall be enabled to judge each judge-decision on:
 - () each of the requirements for a scientific investigation;
 - () each legal frame in which the decision is examined, and compelled starting with article 94 of the Constitution;
 - () each decision in the Court Trial “Wraking” is also at the least in the legal frame of perjury;
 - () a decision for each equal case in the same determined category of cases;
 - () a decision for everyone civilian and executable at any place in the country;

- () the fix of the case at the start of the Court Trial at the Court;
 - () the necessity of the Court Trial by what each litigant declares of what is done to avoid it;
 - () a fair litigation and emphatic on the fair order of actions by litigants and judge;
 - () whether the lying or the truth, in each reason for the decision;
 - () whether the legislator's intentions and aims is applied or the prohibited judge's opinion or the prohibited tribunal's opinion, in each reason for the decision;
 - () the emphatic approval by each litigant for the mentioning in the decision of all its points of dispute in this case, for judgement;
- () each judge shall be fired due to perjury and stealing of the people's possession of the Human Rights, the Court Trials "Wraking" is very often done in which the judges could refute;
 - () each judge shall be condemned and placed out of work for a lifetime, in the field of Justice and shall pay for compensating the damages due to the Agreements Rights;

To keep a repaired future

Besides the keep of the above reparations, the public decides to add the following,

- () in each Court Trial is each civilian empowered to plea or to defend its own case;
- () each law is equal applied in the legal frame on the protection of the Human Rights;
- () in each Court Trial is the Court's registrar's office compelled to be the third party with the dossier that contains the received process-documents, the earlier decision in the same category of cases and the Court's investigation of the legislator's intentions and aims with each involved law-article;
- () each Court Trial without any exception, is deliberated in a public hearing;
- () each Court Trial is a litigation without meddle of a judge with its opinion;
- () each Court Trial "Wraking" is a litigation of discharge out of work in the field of justice within the legal frame of firstly perjury and then the law "Wet algemene bepalingen";
- () each Court Trial against a public servant or public officer is firstly about stealing the civilian's Human Rights;

In addition to the King's Decision in the higher appeal, as follows,

- () the Dutch King is prohibited to hide behind any human shield of a body or an institution in each case of an individual violation of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedom;
- () according to article 5 of the Constitution has each individual civilian open access to the Dutch King with its report about the violated Human Right of Fundamental Freedom;

Notice for aims:

- () The amount of cases signals the trouble in society by public servants or public officers with abuse of the equality of power;
- () A good personal inspect or control by the Dutch King on the execution of obedience and equality in power by the Dutch King decreases the violations;

References:

- *1. Convention for Protection of Human Rights and the Fundamental Freedoms, preamble and article 1
- *2. European Convention for Protection of Human Rights and the Fundamental Freedoms, article 17.
- *3. Case Campbell and Fell versus the UK, 28-06-1984, paragraph 91.
- *4. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, preamble last consideration
- *5. Convention for Protection of Human Rights and the Fundamental Freedoms, preamble and article 1
- *6. Convention for Protection of Human Rights and the Fundamental Freedoms, article 13
- *7. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, preamble first consideration
- *8. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, whole preamble
- *9. Universal Declaration of Human Rights, preamble third consideration
- *10. Convention for Protection of Human Rights and the Fundamental Freedoms, article 10

This document suffers expansion.

For sent higher appeal emphatic addressed to the Dutch King:

VERZEND CONTROLE RAPPORT	
TIJD : 02/12/2019 10:39	
DATUM, TIJD	02/12 10:36
FAX NR./NAAM	0703651380
TIJDSDUUR	00:03:12
PAGINA'S	05
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDAARD

For appealing against the Court's registrar's office clerk and emphatic addressed to the Dutch King:

VERZEND CONTROLE RAPPORT	
TIJD : 05/12/2019 12:33	
DATUM, TIJD	05/12 12:28
FAX NR./NAAM	0703651380
TIJDSDUUR	00:04:18
PAGINA'S	07
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDAARD

For again appealing against the Court's registrar's office clerk and emphatic addressed to the Dutch King:

VERZEND CONTROLE RAPPORT	
TIJD : 27/02/2020 15:04	
DATUM, TIJD	27/02 15:02
FAX NR./NAAM	0703651388
TIJDSDUUR	00:01:17
PAGINA'S	02
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDAARD FCM

For sent the notice of opposition or notice of resistance and emphatic addressed to the Dutch King:

VERZEND CONTROLE RAPPORT	
TIJD : 27/04/2020 10:22	
DATUM, TIJD	27/04 10:18
FAX NR./NAAM	0703651388
TIJDSDUUR	00:03:35
PAGINA'S	05
RESULT	OK
MODE	STANDAARD FCM

Since 27-04-2020 is nothing heard.